UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,390	03/09/2004	Peter J. Pirich JR.	016295.1575 (DC-05990)	1897
7590 09/12/2007 Roger Fulghum			EXAMINER	
Baker Botts L.L.P. One Shell Plaza 910 Louisiana Street Houston, TX 77002-4995			ENG, DAVID Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·		m_N
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/796,390	PIRICH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DAVID Y. ENG	2155
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by six Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a late in the community of the community and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become All	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		·
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	0 July 200 <u>7</u> .	
	This action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	·	-
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		,
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	•	- T
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		opplication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu	priority documents have been	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	_	nformal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/796,390

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to the transferring step of the claims, it is not clear which components of the integrated computer network receive the service directory data and the configuration data. It is not clear which device provides the service directory data and the configuration data. Merely establishing a communication link with the customer's network is not sufficient.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over House (USP 6,785,805) in view of Cline (USP 7,136,908).

Details of the rejections have already been set forth in the last Office action. The details are incorporated herein by reference thereto.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,390 Page 3

Art Unit: 2155

Argument

In the communication filed on 7/20/2007, Applicants do not disagree that House teaches an online build-to-order system. Applicants also admit that House teaches online configuration of test, automation and measured systems (last line page 8 of the response). Applicants appear to contend that House is for building test, automation and measured systems and not for building network. Note that Applicants' claimed method is not for building a computer network. Rather, Applicants' claimed method is for accepting, filling and shipping an order to a customer who orders the merchandise online. None of the recited steps are related to build a computer network. The gist of the invention is to load configuration data to the merchandise before it is shipped to the customer so that the customer does not have to do it. As admitted by Applicants, House also teaches configuring merchandise before it is shipped. As set forth in the last Office action. Cline is cited for the teaching of configuring a network by transferring a service directory from one network to the network. If House's new system were to replace the customer's old network, it would have been notoriously obvious to retrieve all the configuration data including service directory and transfer the configuration data from the old system to the new system.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/796,390

Art Unit: 2155

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID Y. ENG whose telephone number is 571-272-3984. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8AM to 3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, SALEH NAJJAR, can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID Y. ENG C PRIMARY EXAMINER